## Introduction to the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator: A Tool for Understanding Your Approach to Leadership

Chuck Haughton Director, Office of Organization Effectiveness University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine





# **Session Agenda**

- 1. Opening the session
- 2. Introducing the preferences
- 3. MBTI<sup>®</sup> ethical principles and concepts
- 4. Jungian model
- 5. Descriptions and self-selection of the eight preferences
- 6. Best-fit type
- 7. Experiencing type differences
- 8. Action Plan
- 9. Wrap-up





## **Objectives**

- Increase self-awareness and confirm self-perception
- Discover normal differences in people concerning:
  - Energy source
  - Information gathering
  - Decision making
  - Lifestyle
- Appreciate and learn to capitalize on your own strengths and those of others
- Supplement and augment areas that you may overlook or that don't come as easily
- Apply what you learn about personality type



# **Reasons for Using the MBTI<sup>®</sup> Instrument**

The MBTI instrument

- Is a self-report instrument
- Is nonjudgmental
- Indicates preferences
- Sorts instead of measures
- Is well researched
- Deals with everyday behavior of normal people





# **Key Type Concepts**

- Type is *innate*
- Type can be influenced
- Type is observable
- Type is not a box
- Type is not an excuse
- Type indicates preferences, not skills
- Type is a *journey*





## **Model of the Eight Preferences**







## **Preference Dichotomies**







## Energy

#### **EXTRAVERSION**

Being energized through contact with other people or through engaging in activities

(the outer world)

#### INTROVERSION

Being energized through ideas, quiet times, or solitude

(the inner world)





# **How Are You Energized?**

## EXTRAVERSION

- External/exterior
- Outside thrust
- Talk thoughts out
- Breadth
- Involved with people, things
- Interaction
- Action
- Do-think-do

## INTROVERSION

- Internal/interior
- Inside pull
- Keep thoughts in
- Depth
- Work with ideas, thoughts
- Concentration
- Reflection
- Think-do-think

Source: Introduction to Type® in Organizations (3rd ed.) by Sandra Krebs Hirsh and Jean M. Kummerow. Palo Alto, CA: CPP, Inc., 1998. Reprinted with permission.





## **Extraversion-Introversion**





Source: Work It Out (p. 7) by Sandra Krebs Hirsh with Jane A. G. Kise. Palo Alto, CA: Davies-Black® Publishing, 1996. Reprinted with permission.





## Information

#### SENSING

Paying attention to what you perceive through the five senses: seeing, hearing, touching, smelling, and tasting

#### INTUITION

Paying attention to what might be described as the sixth sense—the unseen world of meanings, inferences, hunches, insights, and connections



# How Do You Take In Information?

#### SENSING

- Present orientation
- What is real
- Practical
- Facts
- Perfecting established skills
- Utility
- Step-by-step
- The five senses

#### INTUITION

- Future possibilities
- What could be
- Theoretical
- Inspirations
- Learning new skills
- Novelty
- Insight-by-insight
- The sixth sense, a hunch

Source: Introduction to Type® in Organizations (3rd ed.) by Sandra Krebs Hirsh and Jean M. Kummerow. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, Inc., 1998. Reprinted with permission.





## **Sensing-Intuition**





Source: Work It Out (p. 8) by Sandra Krebs Hirsh with Jane A. G. Kise. Palo Alto, CA: Davies-Black® Publishing, 1996. Reprinted with permission.





## **Decisions**

#### THINKING

Making decisions based on impartial criteria cause-effect reasoning, constant principles or truths, and logic

#### FEELING

Making decisions based on values-based, person-centered criteria, seeking harmony





# How Do You Make Decisions?

#### THINKING

- Logical system
- Head
- Objective
- Justice
- Critique
- Principles
- Reason
- Firm but fair

#### **FEELING**

- Values system
- Heart
- Subjective
- Mercy
- Compliment
- Harmony
- Empathy
- Compassionate

Source: Introduction to Type® in Organizations (3rd ed.) by Sandra Krebs Hirsh and Jean M. Kummerow. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press, Inc., 1998. Reprinted with permission.





## **Thinking-Feeling**



Source: Work It Out (p. 9) by Sandra Krebs Hirsh with Jane A. G. Kise. Palo Alto, CA: Davies-Black® Publishing, 1996. Reprinted with permission.





## **Approach to Life**

#### JUDGING

Want to live an ordered life, with goals and structure, making decisions so you can move on

#### PERCEIVING

Want to live a spontaneous life with flexibility, staying open to new information and possibilities





# How Do You Approach Life?

#### JUDGING

- Decide about information
- Regulate
- Control
- Settled
- Run one's life
- Set goals
- Closing off
- Organized

## PERCEIVING

- Attend to, gather information
- Flow
- Adapt
- Tentative
- Let life happen
- Seek options
- Opening up
- Flexible

Source: Introduction to Type® in Organizations (3rd ed.) by Sandra Krebs Hirsh and Jean M. Kummerow, Consulting Psychologists Press, Inc., 1998. Reprinted with permission.





## **Judging-Perceiving**



Source: Work It Out (p. 11) by Sandra Krebs Hirsh with Jane A. G. Kise. Palo Alto, CA: Davies-Black® Publishing, 1996. Reprinted with permission.





# **Interpretive Comments for Form M**

- Very Clear Preferences (pci 26–30)
- Clear Preferences (pci 16–25)
- Moderate Preferences (pci 6–15)
- Slight Preferences (pci 1–5)





## **SDB Boot Camp Type Table**

ISTJ 2 ISTP	ISFJ 1 ISFP 1	INFJ 2 INFP 2	I <b>N</b> тј 3 IN <b>T</b> Р	
Е <b>S</b> тр	ESFP	ENFP	Е <b>N</b> тр 6	
ES <b>T</b> J 1	es <b>F</b> J	ENFJ	EN <b>T</b> J 5	
N= 24 E 13 S 5 T 17 J 14	I 11 N 19 F 7 P 10	Modal type (most frequent type): ENTP Group type (most frequent preferences): ENTJ Number of different types reported: 10		



# Distribution of Preferences in the U.S. National Representative Sample Comparison

Preference	Percentage	Preference	Percentage
E	49% (54%)	I	51% (46%)
S	73% (21%)	Ν	27% (79%)
T Overall	40% (71%)	F Overall	60% (29%)
Males	56.5%	Males	43.5%
Females	24.5%	Females	75.5%
J	54% (58%)	Ρ	46% (42%)



# U.S. National Representative Sample Comparison

I <b>S</b> TJ	<b>ISFJ</b>	I <b>NFJ</b>	I <b>N</b> TJ
11.6% (8.3%)	13.8% (4.2%)	1.5% (8.3%)	2.1% (12.5%)
ISTP	IS <b>F</b> P	IN <b>F</b> P	INTP
5.4% (0%)	8.8% (4.2%)	4.4% (8.3%)	3.3% (0%)
E <b>S</b> TP	E <b>S</b> FP	ENFP	ENTP
4.3% (0%)	8.5% (0%)	8.1% (4.2%)	3.2% (25.0%)
ES <b>T</b> J	ES <b>F</b> J	EN <b>F</b> J	ENTJ
8.7% (4.2%)	12.3% (0%)	2.5% (0%)	1.8% (20.8%)

N = 3,009. Percentages total more than 100% due to the effect of rounding up. *Note:* The largest letter in each four-letter type represents the dominant function of that type.

